

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/966,497	SOWDEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Angela Ortiz	1732	

All Participants:

(1) Angela Ortiz.

(2) rep Crichton.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1,21

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Atty argued in the response that the nozzle is retracted and closes the mold cavity after injection; Exr proposed an amdt to positively claim this feature

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)